



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#5  
88  
12/17/02

Applicant: Sharon Johnson )  
Serial No.: 09/881,462 )  
Filed: 6/14/01 ) Group Art Unit 3637  
For: A STUDENT WORK STATION ) Examiner Jose V. Chen  
)

**FIRST RESPONSE**

Box Amendment/No-Fee  
Hon. Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**RECEIVED**

**DEC 11 2002**

**GROUP 3600**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of July 29, 2002, please consider the following.

**REMARKS**

**35 U.S.C. §102 Rejections**

Claims 1-4 and 6-13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by King (U.S. Patent 189,749). As noted by the examiner, the patent to King teaches structure as claimed including elevated desk with a work surface (A), storage surface (B), base (B2), and peripheral wall(B1).

It is well settled that in order to anticipate a claim for a patent and render it invalid, a single prior art reference must contain each and every element of the claimed invention.

*Hybritech Inc. v. Monoclonal Antibodies, Inc.* 802 F.2d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Moreover, an anticipation rejection